



For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

Publication Draft - Representation Form

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

** If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Barker	
Job Title (where relevant)	[REDACTED]	
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	[REDACTED]	
Line 3	Bradford	
Line 4	[REDACTED]	
Post Code	BD4 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date: 26 3 2014.

Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.

3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?

Section	4	Paragraph	4.2	Policy	soundness
---------	---	-----------	-----	--------	-----------

4. Do you consider the Plan is:

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

1. Infrastructure.

As I work within the Bradford 4 area and undertake home visits on my patients and I am aware of the appalling traffic congestion on Tong Street and the surrounding roads. This makes the travelling time involved in home visiting excessive. Tong Lane is a narrow road already carrying an excessive number of vehicles daily without pavements on both sides of the road. The prospect of the additional traffic generated by the building of 2700 new homes will extend the grid lock on Tong Street and make Tong Lane more dangerous.

I am aware of the plan to build a road from Westgate Hill to Tyersal The planned widening of the A650 , despite gaining the land to achieve this many, many years ago has never happened. Building a road to effectively cut off a corner and at the same time carry the substantial number of additional cars generated from the new houses will only achieve even more congestion at the points at Tyersal and Westgate Hill where the new road meets the original.

I am very aware in my work as a GP of the many social/ violent/ drug related criminal issues that exist on the Holmewood estate. Holmewood at present is precariously balanced with many dependant on charitable/ social care to survive. The prospect of adding an additional 2,700 homes to this estate will be to the further detriment of the estate.

2. Greenbelt.

Greenbelt is essential to Britain. It stops the merging of large cities into one huge conurbation and at the same time allows the city dwellers access to green fields and open countryside. The greenbelt area between Bradford and Leeds is already extremely limited and to consider releasing more of this for

house building is tragic and unforgivable. I do not feel that it should be allowed as we are currently custodians of this land for future generations. There are many alternatives to releasing the precious greenbelt which should be considered. The current hole in the centre of Bradford should be considered. This would bring people back to live in the city and they would require fewer cars and we would not be adding to congestion on Tong Street by more cars travelling into the city centre just for retail purposes.

I frequently walk and cycle in the Tong Valley. I took my children regularly into the valley to enjoy the beck and observe the wildlife. I have always been amazed at how many local people use this rural area for similar activities, especially the people from the Holmewood estate. At a time when we are trying to encourage, as a nation, improved, more active lifestyles it seems bizarre to me that the tiny area of greenbelt could be even considered to be released for new homes. Where will the current and potential new home owners go for recreation?

3 The history of the area. Tong Village is a conservation area. There are many buildings within the small village which are listed and there are preservation orders on many of the trees. Fulneck is community known internationally. These two communities are gems in the Tong Valley which are appreciated by many people from both Leeds and Bradford. The Black Carr Woods are an ancient woodland. The release of greenbelt adjoining the two villages and the destruction of the Black Carr woods appears to be at total opposition to the concept of conservation/preservation.

4 As I look around Bradford there are many brownfield sites which I feel could offer land for housebuilding. If the proposed retail centre to be built on hole in Bradford in the city centre takes place why can flats not be built on top of the shops? Please remember that the retail centre will bring further congestion on Tong Street as the "shoppers" exit the M62 to access the city centre.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a

subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/>	no	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
<input type="checkbox"/>		Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

9. Signature:

Date:

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.

